Length-weight Relationships of Seven Flatfishes (Pisces: Pleuronectiformes) from Aegean Sea

Bahar Bayhan^{1,*}, Tuncay Murat Sever¹, Ertan Taşkavak¹

Ege University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Hydrobiology, 35100 Bornova, Izmir, Turkey.

* Corresponding Author: Tel.: +90.232 3434000-5204; Fax: +90.232 3883685;	Received 24 April 2008
E-mail: bahar.bayhan@ege.edu.tr	Accepted 26 September 2008

Abstract

Length-weight relationships were estimated for *Citharus linguatula*, *Arnoglossus kessleri*, *Arnoglossus laterna*, *Arnoglossus thori*, *Buglossidium luteum*, *Microchirus variegatus* and *Solea solea* sampled from Izmir Bay, Aegean Sea, Turkey. The slopes (b) of the length-weight relationship ranged between 2.160 and 3.416 with a mean of 2.790. Negative allometry was determined for *A. kessleri*, *A. thori*, *S. solea* and *B. luteum* while positive allometry was present for *C. linguatula*, *A. laterna* and *M. variegatus*.

Key words: Flatfishes, pleuronectiformes, length-weight relationship, Izmir Bay, Aegean Sea.

Introduction

The length-weight (LWR) relationships are applied for basic uses to assess fish stocks and populations (Ricker, 1968). The length-weight relationships help evaluate the condition, reproduction history, life cycle and the general health of fish species (Pauly, 1993) and are also useful in local and interregional morphological and life historical comparisons in species and populations. The lengthweight relationships of the different flatfish populations were described by previous studies both in Turkish seas (Mater and Şahinoğlu, 2000; Özütok and Avşar, 2002; Çakır et al., 2003; Çakır et al., 2005; Karakulak et al., 2006; Özaydın and Taşkavak, 2006) and in other localities (Giovanardi and Piccinetti, 1983; Pereda and Villamor, 1991; Vassilopolou and Papaconstantinou, 1994; Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Dulčić and Kraljević, 1997; Merella et al., 1997; Abdallah, 2002; Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002; Santos et al., 2002; Kautrakis and Tsikliras, 2003).

However, the length-weight parameters of the same species may be different in the population because of feeding, reproduction activities and fishing etc. Therefore, we need to know length-weight relationships of fish which are captured in a given place in a certain period of time. The study conducted for this purpose established length-weight relationships of seven flatfish inhabiting Izmir Bay in Aegean Sea in 2002.

Material and Methods

Samples were collected by trawl in Izmir Bay, Turkish Aegean Sea from January 2002 to December 2002 when trawl sampling was also performed in the coordinates between $38^{\circ}22' \times 26^{\circ}40' \in -38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}40' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}46' \in -38^{\circ}26' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}28' \times 26^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{\circ}50' \in and 38^{$

Total length (TL) of 1.702 individuals was measured using a 0.1 cm class interval and a total weight (W) of each specimen was measured to the nearest 0.01 g.

Total length of all specimens was used in order to calculate the length-weight relationship (LWR), which was calculated by log transformed data log:

$$W = \log a + b \log L$$

where, (W) is the total weight (g), (L) is the total length (cm), (a) the intercept and (b) the slope or allometric coefficient. Allometric coefficient (b) larger or smaller than 3.0 shows an allometric growth (Bagenal and Tesch, 1978). Value b is > 3 and it can be said to have a positive allometric growth. However, b<3 showed a negative allometric growth or isometric growth when equal to 3.0.

Results and Discussion

Of the 1,702 individuals in 7 heterosomata species, the family Citharidae was represented by one species while each family of Bothidae and Soleidae represented by 3 species. Sample sizes (n), minimum and maximum values of lengths and weights, as well as parameters a and b of the length weight relationships, %95 confidence intervals for b, a and the coefficient of determination (r^2) are presented for each species in Table 1, according to which positive allometry was found in *C. linguatula*, *A. laterna*, *M. variegates*, whereas negative allometry was found in *A. kessleri*, *A. thori*, *S. solea* and *B.luteum*.

© Central Fisheries Research Institute (CFRI) Trabzon, Turkey and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

Family	Species	n	TL range	W range (g)	а	95%	b	95%	r^2
	-		(cm)			C.I. of a		C.I. of b	
Citharida	e Citharus linguatula	716	8.2 - 22.8	4.21-70.38	0.0048	0.0045 - 0.0051	3.138	3.108 - 3.168	0.985
Bothidae	Arnoglossus kessleri	76	6.0 - 8.9	1.72-5.62	0.0179	0.0079- 0.0279	2.601	2.375 - 2.827	0.878
	Arnoglossus laterna	796	5.6 - 17.1	1.20-37.83	0.0073	0.0053 - 0.0093	3.009	2.931 - 3.087	0.966
	Arnoglossus thori	6	6.7 - 9.0	2.52-5.04	0.0442	0.0162 - 0.0722	2.160	2.004 - 2.316	0.950
Soleidae	Solea solea	44	19.6 - 29.5	65.82-231.95	0.0232	0.0012 - 0.0452	2.727	2.229 - 3.225	0.741
	Microchirus variegatus	36	9.2 - 15.5	6.52-35.72	0.0030	0.0028 - 0.0032	3.416	3.058 - 3.774	0.989
	Buglossidium luteum	28	7.3 - 9.6	3.80-8.40	0.0240	0.0231 - 0.0249	2.566	2.012 - 3.120	0.785

 Table 1. Descriptive statistics and estimated parameters of length-weight relationships for seven heterosomata species in Izmir Bay (Aegean Sea) from January 2002 to December 2002

n: sample size, min: minimum, max: maximum,

a and b: parameters of length-weight relationships,

95% C.I of a and b: 95% confidence intervals of a and b,

All allometric coefficients (b) estimated in this study were within the expected range of 2.2-3.4. And according to Benegal and Tesh (1978), Koutrakis and Tsikliras (2003), they may range from 2 to 4. The findings of length-weight relationships in flatfish species in Turkish and other seas are as follows: (i) positive allometric growth for C. linguatula (Vassilopolou and Papaconstantinou, 1994; Dulčić and Kraljević, 1997; Abdallah, 2002; Çakır et al., 2005; Karakulak et al., 2006), A. laterna (Pereda and Villamor, 1991: Özütok and Avsar, 2002: Özavdın and Taşkavak, 2006), A. thori (Merella et al., 1997), S. solea (Kautrakis and Tsikliras, 2003), M. variegatus (Pereda and Villamor, 1991) and for B. luteum (Pereda and Villamor, 1991) (ii) negative allometric growth for C. linguatula (Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002; Santos et al., 2002; Özaydın and Taşkavak, 2006), A. kessleri (Çakır et al., 2003), A. laterna (Mater and Sahinoğlu, 2000; Karakulak et al., 2006); and for M. variegatus (Merella et al., 1997) and (iii) isometric growth for A. laterna (Giovanardi and Piccinetti, 1983).

The LWR parameters (a, b) of the fish are affected by a series of factors such as season, habitat, gonad maturity, sex, diet, stomach fullness, health, preservation techniques and annual differences in environmental conditions (Bagenal and Tesch, 1978; Froese, 2006). Such differences in values b can be ascribed to one or a combination of most of the factors including differences in the number of specimens examined, area/season effects and distinctions in the observed length ranges of the specimens caught, to which duration of sample collection can be added as well (Moutopoulos and Stergiou, 2002).

References

- Abdallah, M. 2002. Length-weight relationship of fishes caught by trawl off Alexandria, Egypt. Naga ICLARM Q., 25(1): 19-20.
- Bagenal, T.B. and Tesch, F.W. 1978. Age and growth. In: T. Begenal (Ed.), Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters, 3rd Edn. IBP Handbook No. 3, Blackwell Science Publications, Oxford: 101-

136.

- Çakır, D.T., Akalın, S., Ünlüoğlu, A., Bayhan, B. and Hoşsucu, B. 2003. The flatfish species in Edremit Bay and length-weight relationships for three of them *Citharus linguatula* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Arnoglossus laterna* (Walbaum, 1792), *Arnoglossus kessleri* (Schmidt, 1915). E.U. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 20(3-4): 529-536
- Çakır, D.T., Bayhan, B., Hoşsucu, B., Ünlüoğlu, A. and Akalın, S. 2005. Some Parameters of the Population Biology of Spotted Flounder (*Citharus linguatula* Linnaeus, 1758) in Edremit Bay (North Aegean Sea). Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 29: 1013-1018.
- Dulčić, J. and Kraljević, M. 1997. Corrigendum to "Weightlength relationships for 40 species in the eastern Adriatic (Croatian waters)". Fisheries Research, 30: 169-171.
- Giovanardi, O. and Piccinetti, C. 1983. Biology and fishery of the scaldfish *Arnoglossus laterna* (Walbaum, 1792) in the Adriatic Sea. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 290, Rome: 161-166.
- Froese, R. 2006. Cubelaw, condition factor and weightlength relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22: 241-253.
- Karakulak, F.S., Erk, H. And Bilgin, B. 2006. Lengthweight relationships for 47 coastal species from the northern Aegean Sea, Turkey. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22: 274-278.
- Koutrakis, E.T. and Tsikliras, A.C. 2003. Length-weight relationships of fishes from three northern Aegean estuarine systems (Greece). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 19: 258-260.
- Mater, S. and Şahinoğlu, B. 2000. Investigation on the morphology and length-weight relationship of the scaldfish (*Arnoglossus laterna* Walbaum, 1792) in Izmir Bay (Aegean Sea). Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 17(1-2): 1-7
- Merella, P., Quetglas, A., Alemany, F. and Carbonell, A. 1997. Length-weight relationship of fishes and cephalopods from the Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean). Naga ICLARM Q. 20(3/4): 66-68.
- Moutopoulos, D.K. and Stergiou, K.I. 2002. Length-weight and length-length relationships of fish species from the Aegean Sea (Greece). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 18: 200-203.
- Özaydın, O. and Taşkavak, E. 2006. Length-weight relationships for 47 fish species from Izmir Bay (eastern Aegean Sea, Turkey). Acta Adriatica, 47(2):

211 – 216.

- Özütok, M. and Avşar, D. 2002. Estimation of growth, mortality and the exploitation rate of the Scaldfish (*Arnoglossus laterna* Walbaum, 1792) population from the Yumurtalık (Adana) Bight. E.U. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 19(3-4): 349-58
- Pauly, D. 1993. Fishbyte section editorial. Naga, the ICLARM Quarterly, 16: 26-27.
- Pereda, P. and Villamor, B. 1991. Relaciones biometricas en peces de la plataforma Cantabrica. Informes Técnicos. Instituto Español de Oceanografía, 92: 1-39.
- Petrakis, D. and Stergiou, K.I. 1995. Weight-length relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters.

Fisheries Research, 21: 465-469.

- Ricker, W.E. 1968. Methods for Assessment of Fish Production in Freshwaters. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 313 pp.
- Santos, M.N., Gaspar, M.B., Vasconcelos, P. and Monteiro, C.C. 2002. Weight-length relationships for 50 selected fish species of the Algavre coast (southern Portugal). Fisheries Research, 59: 289-295.
- Vassilopoulou, V. and Papaconstantinou, C. 1994. Age, growth and mortality of the spotted flounder (*Citharus linguatula* Linnaeus, 1758) in the Aegean Sea. Scientia Marina, 58(3): 261-267.