

RESEARCH PAPER

The Effect of Mannan-Oligosaccharide (MOS) as a Feed Supplement on Growth and Some Blood Parameters of Gilthead Sea Bream (Sparus aurata)

Serap Gelibolu^{1,*}, Yasemen Yanar², M. Ayçe Genc³, Ercument Genc⁴

¹Mediterranean Fisheries Research Production and Training Institute, Beymelek, Antalya, Turkey.

²Cukurova University, Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Fishing and Fish Processing Technology, 01330, Adana, Turkey. ³Iskenderun Technical University, Marine Science and Technology Faculty, Department of Aquaculture, 31200, Iskenderun, Hatay, Turkey.

⁴Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, 06200, Ankara, Turkey.

* Corresponding Author: Tel.: +902428721400;	Received 15 June 2017
E-mail: geliboluserap@yahoo.com	Accepted 04 October 2017

Abstract

The study investigated the effect of increasing dietary inclusion of Mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) on growth and blood parameters of sea bream (Sparus aurata). Varying concentrations of MOS were added to commercial sea bream feed at 0‰ (control), 1, 2, 3 and 4‰. At the end of the 15 week trial, control group had the highest final weight, specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency ratio (P<0.05), although these did not differ among the MOS containing groups (P>0.05). Survival rates were higher in the MOS treatment groups. Blood parameters, did not differ among treatment groups (P>0.05). The lowest alkaline phosphatase (ALP) value was measured in the control group whereas the highest was recorded in the 4‰ MOS group. The lowest level of triglycerides was measured in the 4‰ MOS group, while the highest level of triglycerides was measured in the control group. Cholesterol level was lowest in the 2% MOS group (P<0.05). The study showed that the inclusion of dietary MOS has no negative effects on growth and blood parameters in sea breams. However, dietary MOS inclusion led to higher survival rate in trial fish.

Keywords: Mannan-oligosaccharides (MOS), sea bream (Sparus aurata), growth, blood parameters.

Introduction

Aquaculture has shown a fast development in Turkey. Fishery production was 672.241 ton, while aquaculture products were 240.334 tons. In particular, production of commercial sea bream (Sparus aurata) reached 51.844 tons in 2015 due to high protein quality and the great taste of the product (TUIK, 2015). However, during intense aquaculture, increased stress conditions cause a decrease in host resistance against bacteria, virus and parasites in the environment and therefore the prevalence of diseases increases in a short time. Rapid growth in aquaculture is mainly designed to support fish growth free from diseases, avoiding intensive antibiotic, pesticide and other chemical use. Excessive protection oriented antibiotic use can cause the development of antibiotic resistant microorganisms which create harmful effect to aquatic and human life. For this reason, the use of antibiotics in aquaculture as a feed supplement is limited. Recently, the use of functional feed ingredients such as probiotics, prebiotics and immuno stimulants has become widespread since they help to improve species growth and product quality without a harmful effect to the environment. Prebiotics are good examples of food supplements that hinder pathogen bacterium colonizing intestines of the host as well as strengthen the hosts' immune system (Newman, 1994; Yıldız & Akan, 2004; Dimitrioglou, Merrfield, Spring, Sweetman, Moate, & Davies, 2010).

Mannan-oligosaccharides (MOS) is one of the structural cell wall component of Sacchoromyces cerevisiae (also known as bread yeast). MOS is a natural alternative product for anti-bacterial growth factors, which is known for connecting pathogen microorganisms and toxins to their chemical structure (Newman, 1994; Patterson & Burkholder, 2003). In this way, pathogenic bacterial growth is prevented and consequently the harmful effect of microflora metabolites is decreased. The use of MOS as a feed supplement includes its inhibitory impacts on pathogenic bacteria and can augment the immune response of the animal. As a result, the health and performance of the animal may improve if MOS is included in the diet (Bovera, Lestingi, Iannaccone, Tateo, & Nizza, 2012). MOS was first used as a food supplement for chicken and turkey. Additionally, it was given to the other types of animals such as poultry, dogs, pigs, horses, and ruminants. When MOS was used as a food supplement, animal weight and survival ratio were significantly increased. (Savage & Zakrzewska, 1996; Qigley, Drewry,

[©] Published by Central Fisheries Research Institute (CFRI) Trabzon, Turkey in cooperation with Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Japan

Murray & Ivey, 1997; Kaufhold, Hammon, & Blum, 2000; Heinrichs, Jones, & Heinrichs, 2003; Sarıkaya & Küçük, 2009; Kahraman, Mızrak, Yenice, Atik, & Tunca, 2010; Güçlü, 2001, Yalçinkaya, Gungor, Bafialan, & Erdem, 2011).

MOS has also been used in aquaculture by many authors. They have been added to the feed of sea bass, Dicentrachus labrax, (Torrecillas, Makol, Caballero, Montero, Robaina, Real, Sweetman, Tort, & Izquierdo, 2007; Torrecillas, Makol, Caballero, Montero, & Gines, 2011), sea bream, Sparus aurata (Gültepe, 2007), Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus (Samrongpan, Areechon, Yoonpundh, & Srisapoome, 2006), rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Yılmaz, Genç & Genç ,2007; Staykov, Spring, Denev, & Sweetman, 2007), green tiger shrimp, Penaeus semisulcatus (Genc, Aktas Genc, & Yilmaz, 2007b), catfish, Clarias gariepinus (Bogut, Milakovic, Brkic, Novoselic & Bukvic, 2000; Ikizdogan, 2006), carp, Cyprinus carpio (Staykov, Denev & Spring, 2005; Culjak, Bogut, Has-Schon, Milakovic, & Canecki, 2006). In these studies, increased growth performance, survival rates and body weight were reported (Staykov et al., 2007; Burriel, 2006). In addition, the positive impact of haematological parameters of MOS has been tested on European bass, Dicentrachus labrax (Torrecillas et al., 2011), Seabream, Sparus aurata (Dimitrioglou, Merrfield, Spring, Sweetman, Moate, & Davies, 2010) and channel catfish, Ictalarus punctatus (Welker, Lim, Yildirim-Aksoy, & Klesius, 2011).

In this study the effects of MOS supplementation on growth performance and blood hematology and biochemistry in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*), an important Mediterranean marine fish species was investigated.

Materials and Methods

Feed Ingredients

In this study, 5 mm commercial sea bream feed (Çamlı Yem Inc., İzmir, Turkey) was milled with hammer. One portion of the feed (control feed) was stored without MOS addition and designated as the control feed. The rest of the feed was mixed with dry MOS (Sentiguard, Belg iu m) in increasing concentrations: 1, 2, 3, and 4‰ for the experimental study. After MOS addition, feed were homogenized and pressed as dry pelleted feed (2mm diameter) with pellet machine (Beysan Makina ve Torna, Rize, Turkey) and dried at room temperature, after which feed was stored at 4 °C. Feed composition is shown in Table 1.

Experimental Design and Feeding Protocol

In the study, sea bream with initial weight 4.07 ± 0.03 g (mean \pm standard error, S.E) from the first mating season in 2013 were randomly stocked in

15 fiber glass tanks (5 groups with triplicates) at 50 fish per tank in the hatchery of Mediterranean Fisheries Research Production and Training Institute, Beymelek. Feeding was carried out twice a day at 08:30 and 15:30 respectively for 15 weeks. Prior to the feeding trial, 25 fish were randomly selected to determine the body composition. DO, temperature, pH, and salinity were maintained at 11 ± 0.16 mg/L, 24.77 ± 0.18 °C, 7.68 ± 0.04 and 37.35 ± 0.1 ‰ throughout the trial. Fish were anaesthetized with a 0.2 mL/L dose of phenoxyethanol to obtain accurate measurements in the stress-free environment.

Growth Parameters

Growth performance was evaluated every three weeks. At the end of the study, fish growth and feed intake were evaluated with the following formulas:

Weight Gain (wg)= Final weight (g)-initial weight (g)

Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)= Feed consumption (g) / weight gain (g) (Santihna, Gomes, & Coimbra 1996)

Specific Growth Ratio (SGR)={(In Final Weight -In Initial Weight) / time (days)} x 100 (Hossu, Korkut, & Firat, 2001)

Protein efficiency ratio (PER) =Live weight gain (g)/protein intake (g), (Skalli & Robin, 2004)

Viscerosomatic index (VSI) = 100 x (viscera weight (g)/body weight (g)) (Metailler, 1987)

- Hepatosomatic index (HSI) = 100 x (liver weight (g)/body weight (g)) (Metailler, 1987)
 - Carcass yield (CY) =[Eviscerated fish weight (g)/Body weight $(g)] \times 100$ (Metailler, 1987)
- Condition factor (CF) =[Body weight (g)/(fish fork length (cm))³]×100 (Hossu *et al.*,2001)

Blood Analyses

At the end of the trial, blood samples were collected for analyses. Trial fish were not fed for 24 hours prior to blood sample collection. Blood samples were withdrawn from the tail of fish using 1.5-2 ml sterile tubes including EDTA (2.5 mg/ml). Blood analyses were conducted in accord with the Alan (2006) method. Consequently, all the samples were delivered to a hospital for the blood analyses. The following parameters were measured; hemoglobin (HGB), leucocyte (WBC), erythrocyte (RBC), haematoctrit (HCT), mean erythrocyte (MCH), mean hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) and thrombocyte (PLT). In addition, cholesterol glucose, triglyceride, alkaline phosphate (ALP) glutamate

oxaloacetate (GOT), glutamate pyruvate transaminase (GPT) values were checked.

Statistical Analyses

The normality and homogeneity of all data were tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene's tests, respectively. The data were subjected to one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan multiple comparison test at a significance level of P<0.05. The results were expressed in the format mean \pm standard error (mean \pm S.E). Data were analyzed using SPSS 15 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) statistical software.

Results

Growth parameters were given in Table 2. At the end of experiment, weight was highest (89.81 g) in the control group whereas fish fed MOS-diets had the lowest PER (P<0.05). Total length was lowest in the 1‰ MOS fed group whereas the control group had the highest value. However, SGR and FCR did not differ among treatment groups (P>0.05). In comparison to the control group, a higher survival rate was observed in fish fed MOS-added feed groups.

Viserosomatic Index (VSI), Carcass Yield (CY), Condition Factor (CF), and Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) were given on Table 3. No statistical difference was observed among groups in terms of VSI, CR, HSI (P>0.05). CF was lowest in MOS-added dietary groups (P<0.05).

Hematological and biochemical parameters of trial groups were shown in Table 4. MOS-addition did not present any statistical difference in WBC, RBC, HGB, HCT, MCV, MCH, MCHC, PLT among trial groups (P>0.05).

Discussion

There are contradictory results about effect of MOS supplementation on fish growth. Dimitroglou et al. (2010) did not find any difference (P>0.05) between control group and MOS supplemented groups in juvenile sea bream (Sparus aurata). In adult sea bream, Gültepe (2007) reported an increase in growth rates within the MOS-fed groups. In other studies, Bio-Mos was added (up to 4‰) to the juvenile sea bass (Dicentarhus labrax) feed and percentage of growth and survival were affected positively (Burriel, 2006; Torrecillas et al., 2007). Similarly, MOS addition had a positive impact on growth performance of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Staykov et al., 2007; Yılmaz et al., 2007). It is well reported that no variations (P>0.05) were recorded in growth parameters between MOS-fed groups and the control groups in young common carp (Cyprinus carpio) and Belugas (Huso huso) (Genç, Şengul, & Genç, 2013, Akrami, Razeghi, Mansour, Chitsaz, & Ziaei, 2012; Mansour, Akrami, Ghobadi, Denji, Ezatrahimi, & Gharaei, 2012). The current study confirmed resuls reported by Dimitroglou et al. (2010). In the study by Dimitroglou et al. (2010) dietary MOS inclusion did not affect SGR, FCR and PER in sea bream, while another study showed that 4‰ and 6‰ Bio-Mos addition to feed reduced the FCR (Torrecillas et al., 2011). Moreover, 2‰ MOS addition to rainbow trout feed reduced the FCR (Staykov et al., 2007). On the other hand, Yılmaz et

	Control	1‰ MOS	2‰ MOS	3‰ MOS	4 ‰MOS
Dry matter	92.43	91.76	91.39	91.92	93.23
Ash	12.51	12.73	12.68	12.8	12.37
Protein	45.39	46.1	46.5	46.98	45.35
Lipid	20.34	19.09	19.29	19.4	20.17
Carbohydrate	14.19	13.84	12.92	12.74	15.34
Energy (Kcal/Kg)	5083	4990	4993	5023	5113

 Table 1. Feed composition of the trial groups (% dry matter)

 Table 2. Growth performance of gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata)

	Control	1‰ MOS	2‰ MOS	3‰ MOS	4‰MOS
IW (g)	4.09±0.03 ^a	$4.08{\pm}0.02^{a}$	4.07±0.03 ^a	4.06±0.03 ^a	4.06 ± 0.02^{a}
FW (g)	89.81±1.14 ^b	83.48±1.16 ^a	85.84±1.17 ^{ab}	86.79±1.27 ^a	84.28 ± 1.26^{a}
IL (cm)	6.83 ± 0.02^{a}	6.83 ± 0.02^{a}	6.85 ± 0.02^{a}	6.85±0.02 ^a	$6.84{\pm}0.02^{a}$
FL (cm)	17.26±0.07 ^b	16.87 ± 0.08^{a}	17.03 ± 0.07^{a}	17.07±0.08 ^{ab}	16.95±0.08 ^a
LWG (g)	85.69±1.67 ^a	79.39±1.13 ^a	81.78 ± 0.39^{a}	82.73±4.24 ^a	80.24 ± 1.76^{a}
SGR	$2.94{\pm}0.02^{a}$	2.87±0.01 ^a	2.90 ± 0.00^{a}	2.92±0.05 ^a	$2.89{\pm}0.02^{a}$
FCR	$1.28{\pm}0.02^{a}$	1.30±0.01 ^a	1.35±0.04 ^a	1.35 ± 0.02^{a}	1.33±0.05 ^a
PER	1.73±0.02 ^b	1.68±0.01 ^{ab}	1.59±0.05 ^a	1.58 ± 0.02^{a}	1.66 ± 0.06^{ab}
SR	97.33±0.02 ^a	100.00 ± 0.00^{b}	100.00 ± 0.00^{b}	98.67±0.67 ^{ab}	99.33±0.67 ^{ab}

Data are expressed as mean values \pm standard error. The groups which are shown with different letters at the same line are highly different from each other (P<0.05). IW, initial weight; FW, final weight; IL, initial length; FL, final length; LWG, live weight gain; SGR, specific growth ratio; FCR, feed conversion ratio; PER, protein efficiency ratio; SR, survival ratio.

Table 3. The values belonging to Viserosomatic Index (VSI), Carcass Yield (CY), Condition Factor (CF), and Hepatosomatic Index (HSI)

	Control	1‰ MOS	2‰ MOS	3‰ MOS	4 ‰MOS
VSI	6.59±0.21 ^a	6.45±0.22 ^a	6.58 ± 0.16^{a}	6.31±0.21 ^a	6.32±0.15 ^a
CY	91.80±0.23 ^a	91.83±0.29 ^a	92.07±0.20 ^a	92.45±0.52 ^a	92.43±0.18 ^a
CF	1.78 ± 0.04^{b}	1.66 ± 0.02^{a}	1.70 ± 0.04^{ab}	1.64±0.03 ^a	1.72±0.05 ^{ab}
HSI	$1.88{\pm}0.07^{a}$	1.93±0.11 ^a	1.86 ± 0.07^{a}	1.85±0.06 ^a	1.99 ± 0.10^{a}

Data are expressed as mean values \pm standard error. The groups which are shown with different letters at the same line are highly different from each other (P<0.05).

Table 4. Hematological and biochemical parameters of trial groups

Parameters	Control	1‰ MOS	2‰ MOS	3‰ MOS	4‰ MOS
WBC (adet/mm ³)	36.46±1.20 ^a	35.80±1.00 ^a	35.56±6.76 ^a	32.23±5.38 ^a	35.56±1.01 ^a
RBC $(x10^{6}/mm^{3})$	3 33.01±0.04 ^a	3.17±0.21 ^a	$2.95{\pm}0.57^{a}$	2.83±0.18 ^a	2.96±0.58 ^a
Hgb $(g dl^{-1})$	11.86 ± 0.15^{a}	11.53±0.11 ^a	11.63±0.32 ^a	10.83 ± 1.55^{a}	11.6±0.3 ^a
Hct (%)	61.33±2.01 ^a	55.03±1.71 ^a	53.2±4.32 ^a	50.63±8.19 ^a	57.6±4.91 ^a
MCV(fL)	204.17±6.76 ^a	181.63 ± 2.76^{a}	179.9 ± 11.17^{a}	176.3±18.37 ^a	194.5±8.96 ^a
MCH (pg)	39.63±0.23 ^a	$38.03{\pm}0.7^{a}$	39.36±0.52 ^a	$38.03{\pm}0.76^{a}$	39.1±0.51 ^a
$MCHC(g dl^{-1})$	19.33±0.54 ^a	20.93±0.53 ^a	22.06±1.47 ^a	22.06±2.22 ^a	20.13±0.68 ^a
PLT $(x10^{3}/mm^{3})$	12.66±1.45 ^a	11.66 ± 2.90^{a}	$7.00{\pm}1.00^{a}$	10.00 ± 0.00^{a}	12.00 ± 2.08^{a}
Ure (mg/dl)	28.66±0.88 ^{a*}	26.33±2.33 ^a	25.66±3.33 ^a	29.00±1.00 ^a	29.66±0.88 ^a
GOT (AST) (U/100ml)	16.16±0.63 ^c	8.33±1.33 ^a	10.76±0.29 ^{ab}	9.63 ± 0.87^a	$13.03 {\pm} 0.78^{b}$
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	336.67±11.72 ^b	292.00±2.5 ^{ab}	219.00±44.1ª	301.33 ± 8.25^{b}	$310.00{\pm}28.7^{b}$
ALT (GPT) (U/100ml)	1.36±0.13 ^a	1.06±0.88 ^a	1.63±0.58 ^a	1.16±0.12 ^a	1.66±0.13 ^a
Trigly cerides (mg/dl)	461.67±35.13°	399.6±19.65 ^{bc}	377.67 ± 24.26^{ab}	343.33±15.3 ^{ab}	317.33±10.86ª
ALP (UI/l)	250.67 ± 29.97^{a}	296±2.88 ^{ab}	330.33±21.37 ^b	331.33±13.38 ^b	334.33±11.34 ^b
Glucose (mg/dl)	87.00 ± 8.08^{a}	$78.00{\pm}4.00^{a}$	$78.00{\pm}2.08^{a}$	94.00±10.7 ^a	89.33 ± 7.44^{a}

* Data are expressed as mean values \pm standart error. The groups which are shown with different letters at the same line are highly different from each other (P<0.05).

al. (2007) did not report any differences in FCR and PER among groups with 1.5‰, 3‰ and 4.5‰ MOS supplementation (Y1lmaz *et al.*, 2007). So far, different fish species were used to evaluate the effect of MOS supplementation on aquaculture. However, no exact relationship between MOS supplement and growth was found. We believe that the different results can be related to the different acclimation periods, selected species, differences in initial weight and the source and concentration of MOS.

Similar to our study, VSI did not differ (P>0.05) in hybrid tilapia (Genç, Yilmaz, Genc, & Aktas, 2007a) and rainbow trout (Yılmaz *et al.*, 2007) with dietary MOS addition among trial groups. Dimitroglou *et al.* (2010) found lower CF in groups fed with MOS-added feed than the control. On the contrary, according to Ye, Wang, Li, & Sun, 2011 and Pryor, Royes, Chapman, & Miles, 2003 that feeding flounder and sturgeon with MOS-added feed did not show any statistical difference in CF (P>0.05). In addition, the studies, carried out in black cod (Genç *et al.*, 2006), rainbow trout (Yılmaz *et al.*, 2007) and hybrid tilapia (Genç *et al.*, 2007a) did not show any statistical difference (P>0.05) in HSI with MOSaddition to feed. Result of the current trial showed that HSI did not vary among feed groups, confirming reults of previous studies.

Plas ma biochemistry parameters play an important role in providing an insight into the nutritional, physiological and health status of the animals and may help in determining the suitability of feeding practices, husbandry conditions, presence of acute or chronic stressors and pathogenic manifestations (Peres, Santos, & Oliva-Teles, 2013). No difference (P>0.05) in blood parameters was observed among trial groups. Welker et al. (2011) observed no difference in blood parameters for Ictalurus punctatus after feeding with MOS supplemented feeds. Gültepe (2007) reported similar results for the Bio- MOS fed sea bream in 2‰ and 4‰ (P>0.05). Different MOS levels (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 g/kg) did not affect the hematological parameters in juvenile Nil tilapia and Huso huso (Sado, Almedia Bicudo, & Cyrino, 2008; Mansour et al., 2012). In terms of GOT (AST), all groups were found lower than control group. Whereas cholesterol level in groups fed on diet supplemented with MOS was found different from control which was first, 3‰ and 4‰ respectively, it showed similarity with fed on diet supplemented with 1‰ MOS. While triglyceride was

820

observed lowest in 4‰ MOS group, groups fed on diet supplemented with 2‰ and 3‰ MOS showed similarity with 4‰, the highest value was measured in control group and this was followed by 1‰ MOS group. While ALP was obtained minimum with 250,67 (UI/I) and maximum was obtained from 4‰ MOS group.

In our study, the urine values were higher than reported values for Mugil cephalus (Francesco, Satheeshkumar, Senthil, Caterina, & Giuseppe, 2012), but they showed similarity with the results for Synodontis membranacea (Owolabi, 2011). Peres et al. (2013) reported that AST (U L^{-1}) level as 64.0 (28-134), U L^{-1} for juvenile sea bream which were not fed for 24 hours. AST values in our study were comparable with Peres et al. (2013). Although Peres et al. (2013) reported cholesterol level in juvenile sea bream (left hungry for 24 hours) as 361.6 mg/dl (341-407), the highest cholesterol level in our study was 336.67 mg/dl (control group). In this phase, cholesterol level of the sea bream, fed with ‰2 MOSaddition was significantly lower (P>0.05) than the normal range. ALT level in the present trial was lower than that reported by Kanyılmaz (2012) for sea bream, although it was found compatible with values for Mugilaeratus (Ozretic & Ozretic, 1987).

ALP ranged from 250-334 UI/l in the present trial was higher than the reported value (58-125 UI/l) by Peres *et al* (2013). After 24 hours of food deprivation in the current trial, glucose levels in test fish were similar to levels reported in previous studies (Peres *et al.*, 1999, Sitja-Bobadilla, *et al.*, 2005; Peres *et al.*, 2013). This was attributed to the ability of sea bream to quickly restore basal glucose levels after feeding (Peres *et al.*, 2013).

ALP, ALT and AST enzymes are indicative of tissue damage and their increase in blood is indicative of necrosis in liver, tissue degeneration and reflection on changes in protein metabolism (Kopp & Hetesa, 2000; Çelik, 2006). Blood parameters are affected by fish related factors (age, sex and genetic traits) and environmental factors (seasonal changes, food availability, environmental stress and laboratory techniques). Since the blood parameters are extensively affected by these with great fluctuations, the interpretation of the results is highly difficult (Vazquez & Guerrero, 2007, Peres *et al.*, 2013, Oliva-Teles, 2012).

Conclusions

We found that MOS did not cause significant changes on blood parameters except some of the growth parameters, ALP, cholesterol, and triglycerides. At the end of our study, the use of MOS as an additive did not present any negative effect in sea bream. The inclusion of MOS in the diets of test fish led to higher survival rates than control group. However, further research is needed to determine the effect of MOS use in lower dosages for different sizes and larval stages. Moreover, the effect mechanism of MOS should be identified for economically valuable species for aquaculture.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by TAGEM/HAYSÜD/13/A-11/P-01/01 and by the Research Fund of University of Çukurova (SUF2011D9).

References

- Akrami, R., Razeghi, Mansour, M., Chitsaz., M. & Ziaei, R. (2012). Effect of dietary manan oligosaccharide on growth performance, survival, body composition and some hematological parameters of carp juvenile (*Cyprinus carpio*). J. Aquacul. Feed Sci. Nutr., 4, 54-60.http://docsdrive.com/pdfs/medwelljournals/joafsnu/ 2012/54-60.pdf
- Alan, H. & Wu, B. (2006). Tietz Clinical Guide to Laboratory Tests, 4th ed.WB Saunders, 684.
- Oliva-Teles, A. (2012). Nutrition and health of aquaculture fish. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, *35*(2), 83-108. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.2011.01333.x
- Bogut, I., Milakovic, Z., Brkic, S., Novoselic, D. & Bukvic, Z. (2000). Effects of *Enterococcus faecium* on the growth rate and intestinal microflora in sheat fish (*Silurus glanis*). Vet. Med. Czech. 45, 107–109. http://www.vri.cz/docs/vetmed/45-4-107.pdf
- Bovera, F., Lestingi, A., Iannaccone, F., Tateo, A., Nizza, A., 2012. Use of dietary mannan oligosaccharides during rabbit fattening period: Effects on growth performance, feed nutrient digestibility, carcass traits, and meat quality. *The Premier Journal and Leading Source of New Knowledge and Perspective in Animal Science*, 90, 3858-3866.
- Burriel, S.T. (2006). Efecto de la Inclusión de Derivados de la Pared Celular de Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sobre el Crimiento, la Utilización del Alimento, el Sistema Inmune y la Resistencia a Enfermedades en Juveniles de Lubina (Dicentrarchus labrax), IV. Master Universitario Internacional en Acuicultura, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, España, pp. 121.
- Çelik, E. Ş. (2006). Bazı Balık Türleri İçin Kan Elektrolitlerinin Standardizasyonu. Erciyes Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, 22(1-2), 245-255.
- Culjak, V., Bogut, I., Has-Schon, E., Milakovic, Z. & Canecki, K. (2006). Effect of Bio-Mos on performance and health of juvenile carp. Proceedings of Alltech's 22nd Annual Symposium April 23-26, 2006. Lexington, KY, USA.
- Dimitroglou, A., Merrfield, D.L., Spring, P., Sweetman, J., Moate, R. & Davies, S.J. (2010). Effects of mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) supplementation on growth performance, feed utilisation, intestinal histology and gut microbiota of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 300, 182–188.
 - https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.01.015
- Francesco, F., Satheeshkumar, P., Senthil, K., Caterina, F. & Giuseppe, P. (2012). A Comparative study of hematological and blood chemistry of Indian and Italian Grey Mullet (*Mugil cephalus Linneaus 1758*). *HOAJ Biology*, 2050-0874, 1-5.

http://dx.doi.org/10.7243/2050-0874-1-5

- Genç, M.A., Yılmaz, E. & Genc, E. (2006). Effects of dietary Mannan-oligosaccharide on growth, intestine and liver histology of the African catfish (*Clarias* gariepinus (Burchell, 1822). E.U. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 23, 37-41.
- Genç, M.A., Yilmaz, E., Genc, E. & Aktas, M. (2007a). Effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) on growth, body composition, and intestine and liver histology of the hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus x O. aureus*). Israel J. Aquacul., 59, 10-16.
- Genc, M. A., Aktas, M., Genc, E., & Yilmaz, E. (2007b). Effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharide on growth, body composition and hepatopancreas histology of *Penaeus semisulcatus* (de Haan 1844). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 13(2), 156-161. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2007.00469
- Genç, M.A., Şengul, H. & Genç, E. (2013). Effects of dietary mannan oligosaccaharides on growth, body composition, intestine and liver histology of the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) fry. In: Proceeding of Aquaculture Europe 2013 EAS, Trondheim, Norway.
- Güçlü, B.K. (2001). Effects of probiotic and prebiotic (mannanoligosaccharide) supplementation on performance, egg quality and hatchability in quail breeders. *Ankara Univ. Vet. Fak. Derg.*, *58*, 27-32.
- Gültepe, N. (2007). Investigations of alternatively feed investigations of alternatively feed ingredients in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) feeding (PhD Thesis). Ege University, İzmir, Turkey.
- Heinrichs, A.J., Jones, C.M. & Heinrichs, B.S. (2003). Effects of mannanoligosaccharide or antibiotics in neonatal diets on health and growth of dairy calves. J. Dairy Sci., 86, 4064-4069.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)74018-1

- Hoşsu, B., Korkut, A.Y. & Firat, A. (2001). Fish nutrition and feed technology I. *Ege University Faculty of Fisheries Publications*, 50, pp. 295.
- İkizdogan, A.T. (2006). Effects of different dietary supplements on growth performance and hepatopancreas and intestine histology of African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) (MSc Thesis). Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey.
- Kahraman, Z., Mızrak, C., Yenice, E., Atik, Z. & Tunca, M. (2010). Effects of prebiotic (manan oligosaccaride) supplementation into laying hen diets on the hen performance, egg quality, organs weights, jejunum pH and hatching results. *Journal of Poultry Research*, 8(1), Ankara.
- Kanyılmaz, M. (2012). The use of natural zeolite (clinoptilolite) in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) culture (PhD Thesis). Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey.
- Kaufhould, J., Hammon, H. & Blum. J. (2000). Fructooligosaccharide supplementation: effects on metabolic, endocrine and hematological traits in veal calves. J. Vet. Med. A Physiol. Pathol. Clin. Med., 47, 17-29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0442.2000.00257.x
- Kopp, R. & Hetesa, R. (2000). Changes Of Haematological Indices Of Juvenile Carp (*Cyprinus Carpio* L.) Under The Influence of Natural Populations of Cyanobacterial Water Blooms. Acta Vet. Brno, 69: 131–137. http://dx.doi.org/10.2754/avb200069020131
- Mansour, M.R., Akrami, R., Ghobadi, S.H., Denji, K.A., Ezatrahimi, N. & Gharaei, A. (2012). Effect of dietary mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) on growth

performance, survival, body composition, and some hematological parameters in giant sturgeon juvenile (*Huso huso* Linnaeus, 1754). *Fish Physiol. Biochem.*, *38*, 829–835.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10695-011-9570-4

- Metailler, R. (1987). Experiments in Nutrition, in: Bruno, A. (Ed.), Nutrition and Marine Aquaculture, Tunise, Lisbon, pp, 304-320.
- Newman, K. (1994). Mannan-oligosaccharides: Natural polymers with significant impact on the gastrointestinal microflora and the immune system. In: *Biotechnology in the feed industry*, Proceedings of the 10th Annual Symposium (eds. T.P. Lyons and K.A. Jacques). Nottingham University Pres, Nottingham, UK, pp. 167-174.
- Ozretic, M.K. & Ozretic, B. (1987). Estimation of the enzymes LDH, GOT and GPT in plasma of grey mullet *Mugil auratus* and their significance in liver intoxication. *Disease of Aquatic Organisms*. 3, 187-193.

http://www.int-res.com/articles/dao/3/d003p187.pdf

- Owolabi, OD. (2011). Haematological and serum biochemical profile of the upside -down catfish, *Synodontis membranacea* Geoffroy Saint Hilaire from Jebba Lake, Nigeria. *Comp. Clin. Pathol.*, 20(2), 163 -172.
- Patterson, J.A. & Burkholder, K.M. (2003). Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. *Poult. Sci.*, 82: 627-631 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ps/82.4.627
- Peres, H., Goncalves, P., Oliva-Teles, A. (1999). Glucose tolerance in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) and European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Aquaculture 179(1-4), 415–423.
- Peres, H., Santos, S. & Oliva-Teles, A. (2013). Selected plasma biochemistry parameters in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 29, 630– 636.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2012.02049.x

- Pryor, G.S., Royes, J.B., Chapman, F. & Miles, RD. (2003). Mannan oligosaccharides in fish nutrition: effects of dietary supplementation on growth and gastrointestinal villi structure in Gulf of Mexico sturgeon. N. Am. J. Aquacul., 65(2), 106-111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1577/15488454(2003)65<106:MIF NEO>2.0.CO;2
- Quigley, J. D., Drewry, J.J., Murray, L. M. & Ivey, S. J. (1997). Body weight gain, feed efficiency and fecal scores of dairy calves in response to galactosyl-lactose or antibiotics in milk replecers. J. Dairy Sci., 80(8), 1751-1754.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76108-3

Sado, R.Y., Almedia Bicudo, A.J.D. & Cyrino, J.E.P. (2008). Feeding dietary mannan oligosaccharides to juvenile Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* has no effect on haematological parameters and showed decreased feed consumption. J. World Aquacul. Soc., 39, 821–826.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2008.00219.x

- Samrongpan, C., Areechon, N., Yoonpundh, R. & Srisapoome, P. (2006). Effects of mananoligosaccharide on growth, survival and disease resistance of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochramis niloticus*) fry. 8th International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture,.(pp. 345-353).
- Santinha, P.J.M., Gomes, E.F.S. & Coimbra, J.O. (1996). Effects of protein level of the diet on digestibility and growth of gilthead seabream, (*Sparus auratus*).

Aquacul. Nutr., 2, 81-87.

- http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.1996.tb00012.x
- Sarıkaya, S. & Küçük, O. (2009). Effects of mannan oligosaccharides and chromium on performance and some blood levels parameter of calves consuming milk. *J. Hlth. Sci.*, 18, 81-87.
- Savage, T.F. & Zakrzewska, E.I. (1996b). The performance of male turkeys fed a starter diet containing a mannanoligosaccharide (Bio-Mos) from day old to eight weeks of age, Biotechnology in the Feed Industry, Proceedings of *Alltech's 12th Annual Symposium, (eds. T.P. Lyons and K.A. Jacques),* Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, (pp. 47-54).
- Sitja-Bobadilla, A., Pena-Llopis, S., Gomez-Requeni, P., Medale, F., Kaushik, S., Perez-Sanchez, J. (2005). Effect of fish meal replacement by plant protein sources on non-specific defence mechanisms and oxidative stress in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*, 249, 387–400.
- Skalli, A. & Robin, J.H. (2004). Requirement of n-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids for European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles growth and fatty acid composition. *Aquaculture.*, 240, 399-415 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.036
- SPSS, 2012. Computer program, MS for Windows, version 15.0.1. SPSS Inc., USA.
- Staykov, Y., Denev, S. & Spring, P. (2005). Influence of dietary mannan oligosaccharides (Bio-Mos) on growth rate and immune function of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). In: Lessons from the past to optimise the future (eds. B. Howell and R. Flos). *Eur. Aquacul. Soc. Sp. Publ.*, 35, 431–432.
- Staykov, Y., Spring, E.P., Denev, E.S. & Sweetman, E.J., 2007. Effect of a mannan oligosaccharide on the growth performance and immune status of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquacult. Int., 15: 153– 161. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10499-007-9096-z
- Torrecillas, S., Makol, A., Caballero, M.J., Montero, D., Robaina, L., Real, F., Sweetman, J., Tort, L. & Izquierdo, M.S., 2007. Immune stimulation and improved infection resistance in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) fed mananoligosaccharides.

Fish Shellf. Immunol., *23*, 969-981. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2007.03.007

Torrecillas, S., Makol, A., Caballero, M.J., Montero, D. & Gines, R. (2011). Improved feed utilazition, intestinal mucus production and immun parameters in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) feed manan- oligosaccarides. *Aquacul. Nutr.*, 17, 223–233.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2009.00730.x

- Tuik, 2015. http://www.tuik.gov.tr/VeriBilgi.do?alt_id=47 (accessed 7 M arch 2015).
- Welker, TL., Lim C, Yildirim-Aksoy, M., Klesius PH., 2011. Effect of short-term feding duration of diets containing commercial whole-cell yeast or yeast subcomponents on immune function and disease resistance in channel catfish, Ictalurus punctatus. J Anim Phyisol Anim Nutr, 96(2), 159-171.
- Vazquez, G. R. & Guerrero, G. A. (2007). Caracterization of Blood Cells and Hematological Parameters in *Cichlsoma dimerus. J. Tiss. Cell*, 39, 151–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tice.2007.02.004
- Yalçinkaya, İ., Gungor, T., Bafialan, M. & Erdem, E. (2011). Mannan Oligosaccharides (MOS) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in broilers: Effects on performance and blood biochemistry. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 32, 43-48.
- Ye, J.D., Wang, K., Li, F.D. & Sun, Y.Z. (2011). Single or combined effects of fructo and mann an-oligosaccarides supplements and *Bacillus claussii* on the growth feed utilizition, body composition, digestive enzym activity, innate-immun response and lipid metabolism of the Japonose flaunder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. Aquacul. Nutr., 17, 902–911.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2011.00863.x

- Yıldız, G. & Akan, H.B. (2004). Probiyotik olarak Kullanılan Mikroorganizmalar ve Yararları. Yem Magazin, 36, 37-41.
- Yılmaz, E., Genç, M. A. & Genç, E. (2007). Effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharides on growth, body composition, and intestine and liver histology of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss. Israeli J. Aquacul. Bamidgeh*, 59, 183-189.