

Chromosomal Analysis of *Oxynoemacheilus atili* Erk'akan, 2012 (Teleostei, Nemacheilidae)

Muradiye Karasu Ayata¹, Sevgi Unal^{2,*}, Muhammet Gaffaroglu³

¹ Ahi Evran University, Faculty of Science and Art, Department of Biology, Kırşehir, Turkey

² Gazi University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Ankara, Turkey

³ Ahi Evran University, Faculty of Science and Art, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Kırşehir, Turkey

Phone: +90 312 2021511

Email: sevgiunal@gmail.com

Abstract

Diploid chromosome number, chromosome morphologies and chromosomal band properties (with C-banding and Ag-NOR staining) of endemic *Oxynoemacheilus atili* Erk'akan, 2012 were revealed out from Beyşehir Lake Basin, Turkey. Metaphase chromosomes were obtained from kidney cells. Diploid chromosome number was found as $2n = 50$ and chromosome morphologies were as follows: five pairs of metacentric, nine pairs of submetacentric and 11 pairs of subtelo-acrocentric chromosomes. Fundamental arm number was calculated as 78. Constitutive heterochromatin regions were determined on the centromeres of chromosomes. NORs were detected on one pair of chromosomes. The obtained results may contribute to nemacheilid chromosomal studies.

Keywords: Beyşehir Lake loach, karyotype, constitutive heterochromatin region, nucleolus organizer region

Introduction

The loaches of the subfamily Nemacheilinae are mainly small, bottom-dwelling fishes and distributed in the freshwaters. The taxonomy of this loaches is very complicated (Prokofiev, 2009). Most nemacheilid loaches were placed to the genus *Oxynoemacheilus* Bănărescu and Nalbant, 1966 in the recent years, making it a species rich genus of nemacheilid loaches (Freyhof, Erk'akan, Özeren, & Perdices, 2011). The family Nemacheilidae has 40 species in the freshwaters of Turkey and 35 of them belong to the genus *Oxynoemacheilus*. From these species, *O. atili* is an endemic loach and distributes in Beyşehir Lake Basin of Turkey (Kuru, Yerli, Mangıt, Ünlü, & Alp, 2014).

Fish cytogenetics is a unique and useful tool for collecting information that can rarely or never be collected by other genetic methods. Fishes sometimes have very small and numerous chromosomes. The obtaining metaphase chromosomes and applying banding procedures to chromosome preparations are very difficult too. Also, having seasonal cycles in cell activity, sometimes any dividing cells can be found. According to loach body size, these studies come more difficult. Additionally, the logistical problems in collecting and transporting fish specimens to the laboratory can be encountered. Therefore, fish chromosomal studies are carried out in few research laboratories (Rab, Bohlen, Rabova, Flajhans, & Kalous, 2007).

According to above mentioned problems, chromosomal studies of Anatolian fishes are very limited and have been started in the recent years. However, most of these studies have been conducted in cyprinids compared to loach species (Gaffaroğlu, Yüksel, Rab, & 2006; Karasu, Yüksel, & Gaffaroğlu, 2011; Ünal,

Gaffaroğlu, Ayata, & Yüksel, 2014). Despite the being largest genus of Anatolian freshwaters, chromosomal studies have been reported in a few species of the genus *Oxynoemacheilus* (Değer, 2011). Moreover, there is no chromosomal study about endemic *O. atili*. The aim of this study is to reveal chromosomal properties (diploid chromosome number, chromosome morphologies, constitutive heterochromatin regions and Ag-NORs) of *O. atili*.

Materials and Methods

Six specimens (four males and two females) of *O. atili* were collected by electrofishing from Eflatun Pınarı, Beyşehir Lake Basin, Turkey (37°50'N, 31°43'E) in 2013. Specimens were transported alive to the laboratory. Metaphase chromosomes were obtained from kidney cells according to Collares-Pereira (1992)'s protocol. From each specimen, at least 10 chromosome slides were prepared. All analyzed specimens are deposited in the Genetic Laboratory of Ahi Evran University, Kırşehir, Turkey. Sumner (1972)'s C-banding technique was used for determining the constitutive heterochromatin regions whereas Howell and Black (1980)'s technique was used for determining the NORs. All slides (Giemsa and Ag-stained and C-banded) were scanned with a Leica DM 3000 microscope and good metaphases were photographed with AKAS software. Karyotype was arranged manually. Chromosomes were measured by digital caliper and classified according to Levan, Fredga, and Sandberg (1964). For calculating fundamental arm number (FN), meta-submetacentric chromosomes were taken as biarmed, whereas subtelo-acrocentric chromosomes were taken as uniarmed.

Results

Diploid chromosome number of *O. atili* was determined as $2n = 50$ (Figure 1a). Karyotype was consisted of five pairs of metacentric, nine pairs of submetacentric and 11 pairs of subtelo-acrocentric chromosomes (Figure 1b). FN was calculated as 78. Constitutive heterochromatin regions were determined on the centromeres of almost all chromosomes (Figure 2a). NORs were detected in the terminal regions of the short arms of one pair of submetacentric chromosomes (Figure 2b).

Discussion

Despite the vast diversity of Anatolian nemacheilid loaches only eight species's chromosomal properties have been studied. These loaches retain the same diploid chromosome number as observed in this study. However, some differences on the chromosome morphologies are observed (Table). According to this differences, the FNs of the species are different (except *Oxynoemacheilus angorae* and *O. atili*). It was reported that sharing the same $2n$ but different FNs in nemacheilid loaches indicates that their chromosomes have evolved by chromosomal rearrangements such as centromeric shifts. Loach chromosomal studies are very scarce in the other countries too. The number of karyologically studied loaches was reported as 38 (Sember et al., 2015). *O. atili* has the same diploid chromosome number with *Oxynoemacheilus persa* and *O. tongiorgii* from Iran (Esmaeili, Pirvar, Ebrahimi, & Geiger, 2015) and *Afronemacheilus abyssinicus* from Ethiopia (Krysanov & Golubtsov, 2014). However, their chromosome morphologies pose differences. A cytogenetic study that was performed in 19 nemacheilid loaches by Sember et al. (2015) showed that 17

species have $2n = 50$ as observed in this study. However, their FNs were different (68-90), only one species have the same FN with *O. atili*. It was reported that despite the conservatism of the same $2n$, nemacheilid loaches exhibited variability on microstructural level. Pericentric inversions, tandem and centric fusions could be responsible for karyotype differentiation in these loaches (Sember et al., 2015). On the other hand, sex chromosomes are not observed in *O. atili* as reported in the other Anatolian nemacheilid loaches (Değer, 2011).

Cytogenetic studies of Anatolian nemacheilid loaches has been limited by determining $2n$ and chromosome morphologies. So, chromosomal banding studies of these loaches are so limited. Only five of them have been studied by means of C-banding method (Değer, 2011; Gaffaroğlu, Karasu, & Unal, 2012; Sember et al., 2015). This method determines the constitutive heterochromatin regions. These regions are important source of karyotype diversification in fish species (Sember et al., 2015). *O. atili* is similar to *Oxynoemacheilus argyrogramma*, *Oxynoemacheilus frenatus*, *Oxynoemacheilus* sp. (Değer, 2011) and *Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi* (Gaffaroğlu, Karasu, & Unal, 2012) in terms of C-band patterns. Moreover, *O. atili* resembles with most of the nemacheilid loaches that was studied by Sember et al. (2015) from different countries in terms of C-banding patterns. However, heterochromatic blobs that was reported in *T. kosswigi* (Gaffaroğlu, Karasu, & Unal, 2012), *Seminemacheilus lendlii* (Sember et al., 2015) and some nemacheilid loaches (Sember et al., 2015) are not observed in this study.

NOR phenotype is another important cytogenetic tool in fish species. Only four Anatolian loaches have been studied about NOR phenotype. *O. atili* is similar to *O. argyrogramma* (Değer, 2011) in terms of NOR number and location. However, it is different with *O. frenatus* and *Oxynoemacheilus* sp. (Değer, 2011) in terms of NOR number and location and with *S. lendlii* (Sember et al., 2015) in terms of NOR number. Additionally, most of the nemacheilid species analyzed by Sember et al. (2015) showed single NOR like *O. atili*. On the other hand, NOR polymorphism that has been reported for some nemacheilid loaches (Sember et al., 2015) is not observed in this study.

In conclusion, the chromosomal properties of the Anatolian endemic nemacheilid loach, *O. atili*, is determined for the first time. The obtained results may improve the knowledge of nemacheilid cytogenetics.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Ali Ayata for helping in the field and to Dr. S. Cevher Özeren (Ankara University, Turkey) for identifying the specimens.

References

- Collares-Pereira, M.J. (1992). *In vivo* direct chromosome preparation (protocol for air drying technique). In: *First International Workshop on Fish Cytogenetic Techniques* (pp. 15-20). Concarneau, France.
- Değer, D. (2011). *The karyological investigations of some types from Cobitoidea from River System Tigris and Euphrates* (PhD Thesis). University of Dicle, Diyarbakır, Turkey.
- Erk'akan, F. (2012). Two new *Oxynoemacheilus* (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae) species from Western Turkey. *Research Journal of Biological Sciences*, 7(2), 97-101. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/rjbsci.2012.97.101>
- Esmaeili, H.R., Pirvar, Z., Ebrahimi, M., & Geiger, M.F. (2015). Karyological and molecular analysis of three endemic loaches (Actinopterygii: Cobitoidea) from Kor River basin, Iran. *Molecular Biology Research Communications*, 4(1), 1-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22099/mbrc.2015.2517>



- Freyhof, J., Erk'akan, F., Özeren, C., & Perdices, A. (2011). An overview of the western Palaearctic loach genus *Oxynoemacheilus* (Teleostei: Nemacheilidae). *Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters*, 22(4), 301-312.
- Gaffaroğlu, M., Karasu, M., & Unal, S. (2012). Karyotype of river loach *Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi* Bănărescu and Nalbant, 1964 (Cypriniformes, Balitoridae) from the Euphrates River, Turkey. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 14, 821-826.
- Gaffaroğlu, M., Yüksel, E., & Rab, P. (2006). Note on the karyotype and NOR phenotype of leuciscine fish *Acanthobrama marmid* (Osteichthyes, Cyprinidae). *Biologia, Bratislava*, 61(2), 207-209. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-006-0031-y>
- Howell, W.M., & Black, D.A. (1980). Controlled silver-staining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a 1-step method. *Experientia*, 36(8), 1014-1015.
- Karasu, M., Yüksel, E., & Gaffaroğlu, M. (2011). Karyotype, NORs, and C-banding analysis of *Pseudophoxinus firati* Bogutskaya, Küçük & Atalay, 2007 (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae) in the Euphrates River, Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 35(6), 865-868. <https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-0912-129>
- Kaya, T.Ö., Gül, S., & Nur, G. (2005). Karyotype analysis in *Orthrias angorae* (Steindachner, 1897). *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi*, 11(2), 137-140.
- Kılıç, B. (2006). Karyotype analysis in *Orthrias tigris* (Heckel, 1843) from Kura-Aras river basin (MSc Thesis). Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- Kuru, M., Yerli, S.V., Mangıt, F., Ünlü, E., & Alp, A. (2014). Fish biodiversity in inland waters of Turkey. *Journal of Academic Documents for Fisheries and Aquaculture*, 3(1), 93-120.
- Krysanov, E.Y., & Golubtsov, A.S. (2014). Karyotypes of four fish species from the Nile and Omo Turkana Basins in Ethiopia. *Journal of Ichthyology*, 54(10), 889-892. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0032945214100087>
- Levan, A., Fredga, K., & Sandberg, A.A. (1964). Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. *Hereditas*, 52(2), 201-220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1964.tb01953.x>
- Prokofiev, A.M. (2009). Problems of the classification and phylogeny of nemacheiline loaches of the group lacking the preethmoid I (Cypriniformes: Balitoridae: Nemacheilinae). *Journal of Ichthyology*, 49, 874-898. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0032945209100051>
- Rab, P., Bohlen, J., Rabova, M., Flajhans, M., & Kalous, L. (2007). Cytogenetics as a tool box in fish conservation: The present situation in Europe. In E. Pisano, C. Ozouf-Costaz, F. Foresti, B.G. Kapoor (Eds.), *Fish cytogenetics* (pp. 215-241). Boca Raton, United Kingdom, CRC Press., 518 pp.
- Sember, A., Bohlen, J., Šlechtová, V., Altmanová, M., Symonová, R., & Rab, P. (2015). Karyotype differentiation in 19 species of river loach fishes (Nemacheilidae, Teleostei): extensive variability associated with rDNA and heterochromatin distribution and its phylogenetic and ecological interpretation. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 15, 251. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-015-0532-9>
- Sumner, A.T. (1972). A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. *Experimental Cell Research*, 75(1), 304-306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827\(72\)90558-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(72)90558-7)
- Ünal, S., Gaffaroğlu, M., Ayata, M.K., & Yüksel, E. (2014). Karyotype, C-banding and AgNORs of two endemic leuciscine fish, *Pseudophoxinus crassus* (Ladiges, 1960) and *P. hittitorum* Freyhof & Özulug, 2010 (Teleostei, Cyprinidae). *Comparative cytogenetics*, 8(4), 249-257. <https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v8i4.7623>
- Tanrıku, D. (2008). Kura Aras Havzası'nda bulunan Çöpçü Balığı'nda (*Orthrias panthera*, Heckel 1843) karyotip analizi (MSc Thesis). Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.

Table 1. Chromosomal data of Anatolian nemacheilid loaches.

Species	2n	Chromosome morphology	FN	References
<i>Oxynoemacheilus angorae</i>	50	14m+14sm+22a	78	Kaya et al., 2005
<i>Oxynoemacheilus tigris</i>	50	18m+18sm+14a	86	Kılıç, 2006
<i>Oxynoemacheilus panthera</i>	50	14m+18sm+18a	82	Tanrikulu, 2008
<i>Oxynoemacheilus argyrogramma</i> (Tigris River population)	50	44m-sm+6a	94	Değer, 2011
<i>Oxynoemacheilus argyrogramma</i> (Euphrates River population)	50	42m-sm+8a	92	Değer, 2011
<i>Oxynoemacheilus frenatus</i>	50	32m-sm+18a	82	Değer, 2011
<i>Oxynoemacheilus</i> sp.	50	30m-sm+20a	80	Değer, 2011
<i>Turcinoemacheilus kosswigi</i>	50	8m+14sm-st+28a	72	Gaffaroğlu et al., 2012
<i>Seminemacheilus lendlii</i>	50	16m+24sm+10st-a	90	Sember et al., 2015
<i>Oxynoemacheilus atili</i>	50	10m+18sm+22st-a	78	In this study




Figure 1. Giemsa stained metaphase (a) and arranged karyotype (b) of *Oxynoemacheilus atili*. Scale bar = 5 µm. (m: metacentric, sm: submetacentric, st-a: subtelo-acrocentric).




Figure 2. C-banded metaphase (a) and Ag-stained metaphase of *Oxynoemacheilus atili*. Scale bar = 5 µm. Arrows indicate the NORs.